



INTEGRATED SAFETY MANAGEMENT APPROACHES FOR SUSTAINABLE SAFETY PERFORMANCE IN THE STEEL INDUSTRY: A REVIEW

Vikash Nashine ^{1*}, Neha Soni ², Sushil Punwatkar ³

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Management & Commerce Shri Shankaracharya Professional University, Bhilai

² Dean in-Charge Faculty of Management & Commerce Shri Shankaracharya, Professional University, Bhilai

³ Associate Professor Rungta College of Engineering and Technology, Bhilai

Abstract

The steel industry is recognized as one of the most hazardous industrial sectors due to complex production systems, exposure to multiple occupational hazards, and high physical and psychological demands on employees. Despite the implementation of formal safety management systems, workplace incidents and safety-related concerns continue to persist, highlighting the need for an integrated and behaviour-focused approach to safety performance. This paper presents a comprehensive review of literature examining the relationships among safety culture, safety climate, psychosocial hazards, employee engagement, and safety performance in the steel and other high-risk industries.

A descriptive review methodology was adopted to analyse 19 peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024. The selected studies were evaluated based on research context, key variables, methodologies, and major findings. The review reveals that safety culture and safety climate significantly influence employee safety behaviour and organizational safety outcomes. Leadership commitment and employee engagement emerge as key determinants of effective safety performance, while psychosocial hazards such as stress and fatigue negatively affect employee well-being and safety outcomes.

The study proposes that sustainable safety performance in the steel sector requires an integrated safety management approach that simultaneously addresses organizational culture, leadership practices, employee engagement, and psychosocial risk factors. The findings provide useful insights for researchers, policymakers, and industry practitioners seeking to improve safety outcomes and employee well-being in high-risk industrial environments.

Keywords: *Safety Culture, Safety Climate, Steel Industry, Employee Engagement, Safety Performance.*

* Corresponding author

1. Introduction

The steel industry is widely regarded as a high-risk sector due to its complex production processes, heavy machinery, extreme working conditions, and exposure to multiple occupational hazards. Workers in steel manufacturing environments face physical, chemical, ergonomic, and psychosocial risks that can significantly affect their

safety, health, and overall well-being. Although many organizations have adopted structured safety management systems and regulatory frameworks, workplace accidents, near-miss incidents, and unsafe behaviours continue to occur, indicating that technical and procedural controls alone are insufficient for achieving sustainable safety performance.

In recent years, safety culture and safety climate have emerged as key organizational factors influencing safety outcomes. Safety culture refers to shared values, beliefs, and norms related to safety within an organization, whereas safety climate reflects employees' perceptions of safety priorities and management commitment at a given time. Studies across various high-hazard industries have shown that a strong safety culture and positive safety climate are associated with lower accident rates, improved safety compliance, and enhanced reporting of unsafe conditions [1], [2].

Employee engagement has also been identified as a critical factor in improving workplace safety. Engaged employees are more likely to follow safety procedures, participate in safety initiatives, and report hazards. Furthermore, psychosocial hazards such as job stress, fatigue, and excessive workload can negatively influence employee attention and motivation, thereby affecting safety performance [3], [4].

Despite extensive research on individual safety factors, limited studies have examined their combined influence within the steel industry. Therefore, this review aims to integrate existing research on safety culture, safety climate, employee engagement, and psychosocial hazards to develop a comprehensive understanding of safety performance in high-risk industrial environments.

2. Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of this review are:

1. To examine the impact of safety culture on safety performance in high-risk industries.
2. To analyse the influence of safety climate on employee safety behaviour.
3. To investigate the role of psychosocial hazards in employee well-being and safety outcomes.
4. To identify integrated strategies for improving safety performance in the steel industry.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Safety Culture and Safety Performance

Safety culture plays a central role in shaping employee attitudes and behaviours toward workplace safety. Studies indicate that a strong safety culture reduces risk-taking behaviour and enhances compliance with safety procedures [1]. Organizational commitment, communication, and employee involvement are key components of an effective safety culture. Research in manufacturing and metal industries demonstrates that positive safety culture significantly improves safety performance and reduces accident rates [2], [5].

3.2 Safety Climate and Employee Behaviour

Safety climate represents employees' perceptions of safety policies, procedures, and management commitment. A positive safety climate encourages safe work practices, increases hazard reporting, and improves employee participation in safety initiatives [6]. Leadership commitment, training, and effective communication are major determinants of safety climate formation [7]. Studies across mining, manufacturing, and oil and gas sectors confirm that safety climate directly influences safety performance and job satisfaction [6], [8].

3.3 Employee Engagement and Safety Outcomes

Employee engagement has emerged as a crucial mediator between safety culture and safety performance. Engaged employees demonstrate higher compliance with safety procedures and are more proactive in identifying and reporting hazards [9]. Research indicates that organizations with higher levels of employee engagement experience fewer workplace incidents and improved productivity [10]. Engagement is strongly influenced by organizational climate, leadership support, and recognition systems [11].

3.4 Psychosocial Hazards and Safety Performance

Psychosocial hazards such as work stress, fatigue, and excessive workload negatively affect employee well-being and safety performance. These factors reduce concentration, increase human error, and contribute to unsafe behaviours [3]. Studies show that psychosocial hazards partially mediate the relationship between safety culture and safety performance, emphasizing the need to address both organizational and psychological aspects of safety management [4].

3.5 Integrated Safety Management Approaches

An integrated safety management approach combines safety culture, safety climate, leadership commitment, and employee engagement with effective risk management practices. Near-miss reporting, training, communication, and continuous monitoring are essential components of such systems [12]. Research highlights that organizations adopting integrated safety management strategies experience improved safety outcomes and reduced accident rates [13].

4. Research Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive review methodology to synthesize existing literature on safety management in the steel industry and related sectors. Twenty peer-reviewed research articles published between 2015 and 2024 were selected based on relevance to safety culture, safety climate, employee engagement, psychosocial hazards, and safety performance.

The methodology involved:

- Systematic identification of relevant studies
- Analysis of key variables and research findings
- Synthesis of themes and research gaps

This approach provides a comprehensive overview of current knowledge and emerging trends in integrated safety management.

5. Result & Discussion

The reviewed studies highlight the interrelationship among safety culture, safety climate, employee engagement, and psychosocial hazards in influencing safety performance. Leadership commitment emerges as a foundational factor shaping organizational safety culture and climate. Organizations that prioritize safety through visible leadership, open communication, and employee involvement are more likely to achieve sustainable safety outcomes. Employee engagement acts as a mediating factor, linking organizational safety practices to improved safety behaviour and reduced incidents. Conversely, psychosocial hazards such as stress and fatigue weaken safety performance by affecting employee well-being and attention. These findings underscore the importance of adopting a holistic approach to safety management that integrates organizational, behavioural, and psychological dimensions.

6. Managerial Implications

The findings offer important implications for managers in the steel and other high-risk industries:

- Develop a strong safety culture through visible leadership commitment and effective communication.
- Strengthen safety climate by involving employees in safety decision-making and ensuring consistent policy enforcement.
- Enhance employee engagement through training, recognition, and participation in safety initiatives.
- Address psychosocial risks such as stress and fatigue to improve employee well-being and safety outcomes.
- Implement integrated safety management systems with robust near-miss reporting and continuous monitoring.

7. Conclusion

This review highlights the importance of integrated safety management approaches in improving safety performance in the steel industry. Safety culture, safety climate, employee engagement, and psychosocial hazards collectively influence workplace safety outcomes. Organizations that adopt a holistic approach to safety management—combining leadership commitment, employee involvement, and effective risk management—are more likely to achieve sustainable safety performance and enhanced employee well-being. Future research should focus on empirical validation of integrated safety frameworks specific to the steel sector.

References

- [1] H. Nordlöf *et al.*, “Safety culture and reasons for risk-taking at a large steel-manufacturing company,” *Safety Science*, vol. 73, pp. 126–135, 2015.
- [2] M. Abeje and F. Luo, “The influence of safety culture and climate on safety performance: Mediating role of employee engagement,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 14, 2023.
- [3] G. M. A. Naji *et al.*, “Impact of safety culture on safety performance: Mediating role of psychosocial hazard,” *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 18, no. 16, 2021.
- [4] S.-C. Lin, I. Mufidah, and S. F. Persada, “Safety-culture exploration in metal industries,” *Sustainability*, vol. 9, no. 11, 2017.
- [5] U.-F. I. Froko, “Impact of safety climate on safety performance in a gold mining company,” *Int. J. Manage. Excellence*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2015.
- [6] Y. He, Y. Wang, and S. C. Payne, “Antecedents of safety climate: A meta-analysis,” *Organizational Psychology Review*, vol. 9, no. 2–3, pp. 124–156, 2019.
- [7] M. S. Khan, “Impact of safety climate on job performance and satisfaction,” *Int. J. New Econ. Social Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2019.
- [8] U. Haq, “Impact of safety culture on workers’ engagement,” *NUST Business Review*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2023.
- [9] P. G. Furst, “Employee engagement’s impact on safety,” 2018.
- [10] P. Mangkang and P. Chutima, “Organisational climate and employee engagement,” *Engineering Journal*, vol. 26, no. 8, 2022.
- [11] Awolusi and E. Marks, “Near-miss reporting to enhance safety in steel industry,” *Iron & Steel Technology*, 2015.
- [12] F. Saleem and M. I. Malik, “Safety management and safety performance nexus,” *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 20, 2022.
- [13] N. Schwatka *et al.*, “Organizational climate and safe behaviour,” *J. Occup. Environ. Med.*, vol. 62, no. 5, 2020.
- [14] W. R. Siregar *et al.*, “Enhancing safety culture to improve safety performance,” *Int. J. Safety Security Eng.*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2024.
- [15] M. R. Omid *et al.*, “Safety culture among operational units,” *Health Emergencies and Disasters Quarterly*, 2022.
- [16] G. H. Akalp *et al.*, “Perceived safety culture in metal industries,” *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 3, 2015.
- [17] N. Ansori *et al.*, “Safety climate, motivation and compliance,” *Ingeniería e Investigación*, vol. 41, no. 3, 2021.
- [18] H. Febriansyah *et al.*, “Enhancing employee engagement through organizational climate,” *J. Business Retail Manage. Res.*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2018.
- [19] M. Nayak and B. P. Bhol, “Safety management system in steel industry,” 2020.